

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,988	88 03/31/2004		Takeshi Ishikawa	SHM-15395	6088	
40854	7590	08/17/2004		EXAMINER		
·	•	RTER & CLARK	STRIMBU, GREGORY J			
4080 ERIE STREET WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7836				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3634		

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Δn	plicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/813,988		ISHIKAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		t Unit	MW				
The MAIL INC DATE of this committee of the	Gregory J. Strimb							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover	sneet with the corre	sponaence aa	aress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replectified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory mining will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely fil num of thirty (30) days will IX (6) MONTHS from the m become ABANDONED (35	led be considered timely railing date of this co					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-fina	l.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 								
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)	_							
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nterview Summary (PTC aper No(s)/Mail Date						
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/04.) 5) 🔲 N	lotice of Informal Patent Other:)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,988

Art Unit: 3634

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the descriptions for figures 7A to 7F and 15A to 15F are inadequate since each individual figure has not been separately described. It is suggested that the applicant describe each figure to avoid confusion.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because "put against" is grammatically awkward and confusing. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitations such as "an extending portion" on lines 1-2 of claim 4 render the claims indefinite because it is unclear if the extending portion is part of the other portions set forth in claim 1 or is in addition to the other portions set forth above. Also see "an inner surface of the windowpane" on line 2 of claim 4 which suffers from the same problem.

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiraishi in view of Shimizu. Shiraishi, in figures 2 and 6, discloses an automotive windowpane support structure comprising a windowpane 1 mounted vertically movably along at least one of front and rear sash portions 14 of an automobile door, a body exterior part 9 mounted to the sash portion in opposed relation to an outer surface of the sash portion and being flush with the windowpane, an inner guide 18 mounted to the sash portion in opposed relation to the body exterior part, an outer guide 16 mounted to an inside surface of the body exterior part in opposed relation to the inner guide, a rail member comprised of the inner guide and the outer guide, and a slider 2, 17 movable along the rail member, the slider having a side portion thereof protruding from the windowpane, wherein the outer guide supports the protruded side portion of the slider and the inner guide supports other portions than the side portion of the slider so that the slider is restricted in movement in automobile longitudinal and transversal directions. Shiraishi is silent concerning bonding the slider to the windowpane with an adhesive.

However, Shimizu, in figure 6, discloses a automotive windowpane support wherein a slider 6 is bonded to an inner edge surface of the windowpane 2 with an adhesive 62.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,988

Art Unit: 3634

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Shiraishi with an adhesive, as taught by Shimizu, to more securely attach the slider to the windowpane.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiraishi and Shimizu as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Barbero. Barbero discloses a support structure wherein an inner guide 6 has a seal 7 which is put against an extending portion 5, the seal 7 and the guide 6 are integrally formed together.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Shiraishi, as modified above, with a seal, as taught by Barbero, to increase the ease with which the extending portion slides relative to the guide.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Royse, Kobrehel et al., Heim et al., Okada et al., Keys et al., Nishikawa et al., Mesnel et al., Herliczek et al., Watanabe et al., Blankenburg et al., Mariel, Hasler et al. and Motonami et al. are cited for disclosing an automotive windowpane support structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-

Art Unit: 3634

305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Strimby Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634 August 5, 2004